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Replication Technology for Large Segmented Telescopes

• Goal: to make light-weight segments

• Requirements:
  (1) $20 \text{ kg/m}^2 < \text{ for reduced structure cost AND to allow affordable PRIMARY ADAPTIVE OPTICS}$
  (2) 50 nm or “Strehls” $\approx 0.8$ for spectroscopy at the sky limit or for planets near stars in IR
  (3) Production time and cost significantly less than current classic glass grinding and polishing
     => time $\approx 5\text{yr/700m}^2$; cost $\approx 5000/\text{m}^2$
GBT: 100 meters across, our goal for the IR
Sample actuator scheme that is improved with light-weight, can replace those below with low cost speakers

Proposed by CSEM (Dr. Lorenzo Zago)
Background:

- X-ray astronomers are working on nearly all replication technologies: Composites, CVD SiC, Plasma Spray, Electroforming, Slumped Glass

=> It is natural for X-ray astronomy to contribute to technology development of segmented mirrors

- Leading contenders to meet our requirements are Electroforming and Composites
Advantages:

• Time and cost requirements can be met:

• 1 day per 1m² or better capital cost of equipment further allows for easy upgrade to production mode; current production cost of a ~ 50 cm long x 10 cm diameter mirror costs $1k => projected cost of $5k/m² is reasonable.

• Smoothness, or high frequency roughness Strehl requirement can be met = 0.5 nm or better on 100 micron length scale and 1-5 nm on the 1 - 5 mm scale
Advantages cont:

- Since no grinding and polishing of the optic, the optic can be made thin and light-weight.

- Using a mask technique, one mandrel can be used to make all the required hexagonal segments at a given radial distance from the mirror center.

- Development of bending techniques means one master can be used for more than 5 different radii of curvature =>

- The net number of masters needed versus conventional figuring is reduced by 20-30.
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Summary:

• Electroforming replication can meet time, cost and light weight requirements right now!

• It is definitely worth pursuing replication technologies.

• And technical expertise developed for X-ray astronomy can and should be migrated to segmented mirror technology.
Mini Review of composite and ceramics (SiC, plasma spray)

- Composites for X-ray astronomy can do no better than 40 arc seconds and the figure has been unstable over months.

- Composites are acceptable for micro-wave applications however.

- Ceramic carriers + epoxy replication has tried, and still does not meet specifications for Constellation X which is about 15 arc seconds.
Mini Review of composite and ceramics (SiC, plasma spray)

- SiC preferred over plasma sprayed alumina at this point, but SiC is very expensive = about $70k/m². Whatever backing is used, this is a 2-step process: make ceramic structure, then use this to support the epoxy.

- Both SiC and plasma spray are hot (≥ 2000 C) processes. Need a robust master and extreme care with CTE matching between ceramic and mandrel.
NU just happens to have a patented fine particle plasma spray machine
Progress to date

• At Northwestern (NU) we are working on electroforming. We are beginning is to make flat and convex pieces and to test them. Ball Aerospace is supplying characterization facilities and expertise.
The Concept (simplified):

- Coat mandrel
- Electroform onto mandrel
- Attach support, if necessary
- Remove from mandrel
Segment Fabrication

Step #1  Polish Spherical Master  OR  Polish Aspherical Master

Polishing tool

Step #2  Fabricate Support structure  AND  Electroform face and back plates

Attachment Boss

Rib  Lightweighting

Machine Boss heights to match faceplate

Edge Mask  Electroformed Nickel

Boss and Web pattern

Step #3  Assemble faceplate, backplate to support structure
Sample more complete process:

1. Coat mandrel with CNx
2. Coat mandrel with release layer
3. Coat with reflecting layer
4. Coat with adhesion layers, if necessary
5. Electroform
6. Remove electroform
7. Remove release layer
Copper coating removed
Progress to date, cont.

• We are well on our way to making 4 inch (10 cm) diameter, and have made 2 in diameter pieces both convex and flat. These were thick enough (100 - 200 µm) to be self-supporting.

• Designs by Ed Kibblewhite, Ball, and NU have been made. We are exploring stiff supports that prevent the electroform from deforming upon removal from the master (based on the assumption that stresses set up in the electroforming of the segment could lead to out of “spec” deformation.)
Other paths to explore:

- Combine composite expertise with electroforming expertise. Send sample to CTD and let them support the electroform with Elastic Memory Composites. Experiment with electroform thickness. Experiment with coating EMC and let EMC be the primary piece. Heating after release to refine the shape?
Other paths to explore:

- Another possibility is plasma spray and laminates and we (NU) can do this also.
High bay loft at Ball, all ready to go for mirror testing.

A smart material consisting of shape memory polymer and fiber reinforcement.
Summary and Conclusions

Replication is such a good idea that the basic concept should be explored.

A combination of electroforming or ceramics combined with composites should "win the game".

Our "baby steps," combined with previous work gives support to these ideas.
Summary and Conclusions

The team of NU, Ball and CTD has the necessary skills and facilities to carry out a thorough study of replication technology.

This includes electroforming, plasma spraying at NU, optical alignment testing and control design at Ball, and composite materials development at CTD.

Work is in progress, come to SPIE in Hawaii in August to get an update.
Summary and Conclusions

Academic institutions such as NU can make significant contributions to address issues related to fabrication of segments and the issue should not be simply treated as problem to be solved by industry.
The
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