TSIP Feedback Form for 2005A-0447 (PI: Hillwig)

Name: [Required]
Email: [Required]
Observing Date(s): 2005A
Telescope/Instrument: HET/MRS
Title: Spectroscopic Monitoring of the Relativistic Jets, Accretion Disk, and Mass Donor Star in the Microquasar SS 433

How would you rate your observing run in the following areas?

1. **Pre-Observing Preparation and Assistance**
   Information/assistance with travel planning, lodgings, and logistics? [Required]
   - Not Applicable
   - Unsatisfactory
   - Adequate
   - Good
   - Excellent

   Information on instrumentation and telescope documentation necessary for proper execution of your program? [Required]
   - Not Applicable
   - Unsatisfactory
   - Adequate
   - Good
   - Excellent

2. **Telescope and Instrument Operations**
   Overall telescope/instrument performance during your observing run [Required]
   - Not Applicable
   - Unsatisfactory
   - Adequate
   - Good
   - Excellent

3. **Software**
   Observing and Instrument Software? [Required]
   - Not Applicable
   - Unsatisfactory
   - Adequate
   - Good
   - Excellent

   Software Support for Data Reduction? [Required]
   - Not Applicable
   - Unsatisfactory
   - Adequate
   - Good
   - Excellent

4. **Assistance from Scientific and Technical Personnel**
   Scientific Support [Required]
   - Not Applicable
   - Unsatisfactory
   - Adequate
   - Good
   - Excellent

   Observing Assistant Support [Required]
   - Not Applicable
   - Unsatisfactory
   - Adequate
   - Good
   - Excellent

   Other Assistance (e.g., engineering, electronics, computing) [Required]
   - Not Applicable
   - Unsatisfactory
   - Adequate
   - Good
   - Excellent

5. **Overall Observing Experience**
   Compared to other observing facilities you have used, how would you rate your overall observing run at this particular observatory? [Required]
   - Not Applicable
   - Unsatisfactory
   - Adequate
   - Good
   - Excellent

6. **OPTIONAL COMMENTS**
   Note: Your comments will not be forwarded to the observatory; they are for TSIP use only.

   What, in your opinion, did this observatory do best to make your observing run a success?
What, in your opinion, could this observatory have done differently to make your observing run more productive?

Other comments, assessments, suggestions for improvement (please be as specific as possible)